#### **COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS**

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference C1-A0508P	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/JP2006/311600	International filing date (day/month/year) 09 June 2006 (09.06.2006)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 June 2005 (10.06.2005)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant CHUGAI SEIYAKU KABUSHIKI K	AISHA		

This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule  $44 \ bis.1(a)$ .

2.	This REPORT consists of a to In the attached sheets, any ref- to the international preliminar	erence to the written opir	tion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference
3.	This report contains indication  Box No. I	Basis of the report	g items:
	Box No. II Box No. III	Priority  Non-establishment applicability	of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV  Box No. V		rention tunder Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ons and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI  Box No. VII	Certain documents	
	Box No. VIII		s on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will not, except where the applicandate (Rule 44bis .2).	communicate this report at makes an express reque	to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but est under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			Date of issuance of this report 11 December 2007 (11.12.2007)
	The International Bu 34, chemin des C 1211 Geneva 20.	olombettes	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara
-	mile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt07.pct@wipo.int

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the		IAL SEARCHIN	C ALITHODI	rv			RANG
To:	Allor	AL SEARCHIN	O AUTHORI				PCT PCT
					INTER		ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
							(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
			•		Date of maili	-	
Applica	at's or o	gent's file reference					
	A050		.•		FOR FURT		CTION See paragraph 2 below
		olication No. 2006/3116		International filing date (	day/month/year	•)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.06.2005
				411-11641	LIDO		
A611	K39/ K47/	395 (2006 .	01)i, A		.01)i, A		47/02(2006.01)i, 47/22(2006.01)i,
Applica	nt						
		SEIYAKU	KABUSH	IKI KAISHA			
1.	This o	pinion contains in	dications relati	ng to the following items	:		
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the o	pinion			
	片	Box No. II	Priority				
		Box No. III			ard to novelty.	inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
		Box No. IV Box No. V	Lack of unity Reasoned stat		l(a)(i) with rega	ard to n	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
			applicability;	citations and explanation			
		Box No. VI	Certain docum				
!	H	Box No. VII		s in the international app	•		
		Box No. VIII	Certain observ	vations on the internation	al application		·
2.	FURT	HER ACTION					
	Internathan th	tional Preliminary is one to be the I	y Examining A PEA and the cl	uthority ("IPEA") except	that this does r the Internations	not appl	be considered to be a written opinion of the y where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of
	written	reply together,	where appropri		before the expi	ration	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form xpires later.
	For fur	ther options, see 1	Form PCT/ISA/	220.			ľ
3.	For fur	ther details, see n	otes to Form PC	CT/ISA/220.			
Name an	d mailie	ng address of the I	SA/JP	Date of completion o	f this oninion	Autho	rized officer
unje dil	~III	e accuress of tile I		Sale of completion o	, and obtained	1 300110	inter circu
					,		
Facsimil	e No.			}		Telepi	none No.

#### **COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS**

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		the translation of the international application into translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rule 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	. which is the language of a
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and necessary to the claimed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	ъ.	format of material	
		on paper	
		in electronic form	
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed	
		filed together with the international application in electronic form	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relativished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identified or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Addi	tional comments:	
			•
		•	

Вох	No. I	V Lack of unity of invention
1.	$\boxtimes$	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
		paid additional fees
		paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
		paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
		not paid additional fees
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3.	This	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
		complied with
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the following reasons:
		A. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42 (respectively partially), 9 and 22) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing salt and sc(Fv)2.  B. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing amino sugar and sc(Fv)2.  C. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing sugar alcohol and sc(Fv)2.  D. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing an amino acid and sc(Fv)2.  E. The subject matters of claims (1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42 (respectively partially), 7, 8, 20 and 21) relate to a pharmaceutical composition containing a pH adjusting agent and sc(Fv)2.  F. The subject matters of claims (12, 36 (partially), 11, and 25) relate to a freeze-dried preparation containing sc(Fv)2.  G. The subject matters of claims (26-35) relate to a method for suppressing isomerization of an active ingredient in a pharmaceutical composition.  H. The subject matter of claim (43) relates to a method for screening substances which suppress isomerization of sc(Fv)2.
		The pharmaceutical composition containing sc(Fv)2, which is common to A and B-F, is publicly known, for example, as described in the document ("Treatment of human B cell lymphoma xenografts with a CD3 × CD19 diabody and T cells," (B. Cochlovius), Journal of immunology, 2000, Vol. 165, No. 2, pages 888 to 895).  The pharmaceutical composition which is a matter common to A and G is publicly known without mentioning the document.
		Therefore, these common matters are not considered to be special technical features, since they are within the prior art. Moreover, there is no other matter that is common to all the claims and considered to be any special technical feature.
		H is neither a method for producing substances which suppress an isomerization reaction of sc(Fv)2 such as an amino sugar nor a method for using the substances. Moreover, H does not give any suggestion regarding a specified structure of compounds required for suppressing isomerization of sc(Fv)2. Accordingly, there is no single general inventive concept in A and H.
		Therefore, the number of inventions included in the application concerned is eight.
4.	Cons	equently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
		all parts  1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42 (respectively partially), 9 and the parts relating to claims Nos.

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Box			lle 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement	•		
	Novelty (N)	Claims	10, 12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)	_ YES
		Claims	1-6, 14-19(respectively partially), 9 and 22	_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)	_ YES
		Claims	1-6, 10, 14-19 (respectively partially), 9 and 22	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-6, 10, 12-19, 23, 24, 36-42(respectively partially), 9 and 22	YES
		Claims		_ NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: "Treatment of human B cell lymphoma xenografts with a CD3 × CD19 diabody and T cells," (B. Cochlovius), Journal of immunology, 2000, Vol. 165, No. 2, pages 888 to 895 Document 2: WO, 2004-019966, A1 (Chugai Seiyaku K.K.), 11 March, 2003 (11.03.04), & EP, 1541165, A1, & US, 2006-058511, A1 Document 3: JP, 2003-515323, A (Oxford Biomedica (UK) Ltd.), 7 May, 2003 (07.05.03), & WO, 2001-36486, A2, & EP, 1242456, & US, 2003-083290, A1, & US, 2004-131591, A1, & US, 2004-265275, A1, & US, 2006-014222, A1

Document 4: JP, 2002-543822, A (Smithkline Beecham Corp., US), 24 December, 2002 (24.12.02), & WO, 2000-69462, A1, & EP, 1178829, A1

(1) The subject matters of claims 1-6, 14-19 (respectively partially) (parts containing salt), 9 and 22 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step, since they are described in document 1 cited in the ISR.

Especially, document 1 describes a pharmaceutical composition where a CD3  $\times$  CD19 diabody (corresponding to sc(Fv)2) is dissolved in PBS (page 889, items "Diabody expression and purification" and "Pharmacokinetic studies").

(2) The subject matter of claim 10 (partially) (part containing salt) does not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-4 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 does not describe that a composition containing sc(Fv)2 and salt is employed as a freeze-dried preparation.

As described in documents 2-4, however, it is a well-known art to employ a pharmaceutical composition containing an antibody like scFv, etc., as a freeze-dried preparation.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art could have easily conceived of employing the pharmaceutical composition described in document 1 containing sc(Fv)2 and salt as a freeze-dried preparation.

(3) The subject matters of claims 12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially)(parts containing salt) appear to be novel and to involve an inventive step, since they are neither described nor disclosed in any of the documents cited in the ISR.

The isomerization reactions of bivalent scFv and single chain diabody, and the method for suppressing the isomerization reactions described in claims 12, 13, 23, 24 and 36-42 (respectively partially) (parts containing salt), are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR or the documents related to the present invention, nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
ı	
<u> </u>	

Certain pu	blished documents (	Rule	43 <i>bis</i> .1	and 70.1	0)					
	Application Patent No	No.			Publication (day/month/		Filin (day/mo	g date outh/year)		te (valid clai nonth/year)
MO	2005/107784	A1	[P, )	<b>(</b> )	17.11.2	2005	11.05	.2005	11.0	5.200
					·					
Non-writte	en disclosures (Rule	43 <i>bis</i>	.I and 7	(0.9)				-		
Non-writte	: en disclosures (Rule Kind of non-writte			·······		on-written dis y/month/year		referrin;	e of written dis g to non-writter (dajv/month/ye	n disclosure
Non-writte				(0.9)				referrin;	g to non-writter	n disclosure
Non-writte				(0.9)				referrin;	g to non-writter	n disclosure
Non-writte				(0.9)				referrin;	g to non-writter	n disclosure
Non-writte				(0.9)				referrin;	g to non-writter	n disclosure
Non-writte				70.9)				referrin;	g to non-writter	n disclosure
Non-writte				(0.9)		y/month/year		referrin	g to non-writter ( <i>da</i> wmonth/ve	n disclosure
Non-writte		n disc	losure	(0.9)		y/month/year		referrin;	g to non-writter ( <i>da</i> wmonth/ve	n disclosure
Non-writte	Kind of non-writte	n disc	losure		(da	y/month/year		referrin	g to non-writter ( <i>da</i> wmonth/ve	n disclosure
Non-writte	Kind of non-writte	n disc	losure			y/month/year		referrin	g to non-writter ( <i>da</i> wmonth/ve	n disclosure
Non-writte	Kind of non-writte	n disc	losure		(da	y/month/year		referrin	g to non-writter ( <i>da</i> wmonth/ve	n disclosure

#### **COPY SUBMITTED IN IDS**

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2006/311600

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Int.Cl.

A61K47/26(2006.01)i, A61K47/46(2006.01)i,

A61P43/00(2006.01)i, G01N33/15(2006.01)i,

G01N33/50(2006.01)i